

MODULUS OF CONTINUITY OF SOLUTIONS TO COMPLEX HESSIAN EQUATIONS

MOHAMAD CHARABATI

ABSTRACT. We give a sharp estimate of the modulus of continuity of the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the complex Hessian equation of order m ($1 \leq m \leq n$) with a continuous right hand side and a continuous boundary data in a bounded strongly m -pseudoconvex domain $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover when the right hand side is in $L^p(\Omega)$, for some $p > n/m$ and the boundary value function is $C^{1,1}$ we prove that the solution is Hölder continuous.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with smooth boundary and m be an integer such that $1 \leq m \leq n$. Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$ and $0 \leq f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$. We consider the Dirichlet problem for complex Hessian equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \\ (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = f \beta^n & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where $SH_m(\Omega)$ denote the set of all m -subharmonic functions in Ω and $\beta := dd^c|z|^2$ is the standard Kähler form in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

In the case $m = 1$, this equation corresponds to the Poisson equation which is classical. The case $m = n$ corresponds to the complex Monge-Ampère equation which was intensively studied these last decades by several authors (see [BT76], [CP92], [CK94], [Bl96] [Ko98], [GKZ08]).

The complex Hessian equation is a new subject and is much more difficult to handle than the complex Monge-Ampère equation (e.g. the m -subharmonic functions are not invariant under holomorphic change of variables, for $m < n$). Despite that, the pluripotential theory which was developed in ([BT82], [D89], [Ko98]) for the complex Monge-Ampère equation can be adapted to the complex Hessian equation.

The Dirichlet problem (1.1) was considered by Li in [Li04]. He proved that if Ω is a bounded strongly m -pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary

Date: March 17, 2014.

Key words and phrases. complex Hessian equation, m -subharmonic function, Dirichlet problem, modulus of continuity.

(see the definition below), $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ and $0 < f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ then there exists a unique smooth solution to the Dirichlet problem (1.1).

The existence of continuous solution for the homogenous Dirichlet problem in the unit ball was proved by Z. Blocki [Bl05].

The Hölder continuity of the solution when the right hand side and the boundary data are Hölder continuous was proved by H.C. Lu [Lu12].

Recently, S. Dinew and S. Kolodziej proved in [DK11] that there exists a unique continuous solution to (1.1) when $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p > n/m$. The Hölder continuity of the solution in this case has been studied independently by H.C. Lu [Lu12] and Nguyen [N13].

A viscosity approach to the complex Hessian equation has been developed by H.C. Lu in [Lu13b].

A potential theory for the complex Hessian equation was developed by Sadullaev and Abdullaev in [SA12] and H.C.Lu [Lu12] at the same time.

Our first main result in this paper gives a sharp estimate for the modulus of continuity of the solution to Dirichlet problem for complex Hessian equation (1.1).

More precisely, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a smoothly bounded strongly m -pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, assume that $0 \leq f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$. Then the modulus of continuity $\omega_{\mathbf{U}}$ of the solution $\mathbf{U}$ satisfies the following estimate*

$$\omega_{\mathbf{U}}(t) \leq \tau(1 + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})}^{1/m}) \max\{\omega_\varphi(t^{1/2}), \omega_{f^{1/m}}(t), t^{1/2}\}$$

where $\tau \geq 1$ is a constant depending only on Ω .

In the case of the complex Monge-Ampère equation, Y. Wang gave a control on the modulus of continuity of the solution assuming the existence of a subsolution and a supersolution with the given boundary data ([W12]).

Here we do not assume the existence of a subsolution and a supersolution. Actually the main argument in our proof consists in constructing adequate barriers for the Dirichlet problem for the complex Hessian equation (1.1).

For the case when the density $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p > n/m$, C.H. Nguyen [N13] proved the Hölder continuity of the solution when the boundary data is in $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ and the density f satisfies a growth condition near the boundary of Ω .

For the case $m = n$, we proved recently ([Ch14]) that the solution to the Dirichlet problem (1.1) is Hölder continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$ without any condition near the boundary. Using the same idea we can prove a similar result for the hessian equation. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded strongly m -pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ and $0 \leq f \in L^p(\Omega)$, for some $p > n/m$. Then the solution $\mathbf{U}$ to (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $0 < \alpha < \gamma_1$.*

Moreover, if $p \geq 2n/m$ then the solution to the Dirichlet problem $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $0 < \alpha < \min\{\frac{1}{2}, 2\gamma_1\}$, where $\gamma_r := \frac{r}{r+mq+\frac{pq(n-m)}{p-\frac{n}{m}}}$ and $r \geq 1$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly recall some facts from linear algebra and basic results from potential theory for m -subharmonic functions. We refer the reader to [Bl05, DK11, Lu13a, Lu13c, SA12] for more details.

Let us set

$$H_m(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n} \lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_m},$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Thus $(t + \lambda_1) \dots (t + \lambda_n) = \sum_{m=0}^n H_m(\lambda) t^{n-m}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $H_0(\lambda) = 1$.

We denote Γ_m the closure of the connected component of $\{H_m > 0\}$ containing $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. One can show that

$$\Gamma_m = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : H_m(\lambda_1 + t, \dots, \lambda_n + t) \geq 0, \forall t \geq 0\}.$$

It follows from the identity

$$H_m(\lambda_1 + t, \dots, \lambda_n + t) = \sum_{p=0}^m \binom{n-p}{m-p} H_p(\lambda) t^{m-p},$$

that

$$\Gamma_m = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : H_j(\lambda) \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

It is clear that $\Gamma_n \subset \Gamma_{n-1} \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_1$, where $\Gamma_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda_i \geq 0 \forall i\}$.

By the paper of Gårding [G59], the set Γ_m is a convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $H_m^{1/m}$ concave on Γ_m . By Maclaurin inequality, we get

$$\binom{n}{m}^{-1/m} H_m^{1/m} \leq \binom{n}{p}^{-1/p} H_p^{1/p}; \quad 1 \leq p \leq m \leq n.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ of complex hermitian $n \times n$ matrices. For any $A \in \mathcal{H}$, let $\lambda(A) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the eigenvalues of A . We set

$$\tilde{H}_m(A) = H_m(\lambda(A)).$$

Now, we define the cone

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_m := \{A \in \mathcal{H} : \lambda(A) \in \Gamma_m\} = \{A \in \mathcal{H} : \tilde{H}_j(A) \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

Let α be a real $(1,1)$ -form determined by

$$\alpha = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j} a_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

where $(a_{i\bar{j}})$ is a hermitian matrix. After diagonalizing the matrix $A = (a_{i\bar{j}})$, we see that

$$\alpha^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = \tilde{S}_m(\alpha) \beta^n,$$

where β is the standard Kähler form in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\tilde{S}_m(\alpha) = m!(n-m)!\tilde{H}_m(A)$. The last equality allows us to define

$$\hat{\Gamma}_m := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{(1,1)} : \alpha \wedge \beta^{n-1} \geq 0, \alpha^2 \wedge \beta^{n-2} \geq 0, \dots, \alpha^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq 0\}.$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{(1,1)}$ is the space of real $(1,1)$ -forms with constant coefficients.

Let $M : \mathbb{C}_{(1,1)}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the polarized form of $\tilde{S}_m$, i.e. M is linear in every variable, symmetric and $M(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) = \tilde{S}_m(\alpha)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{(1,1)}$. The Gårding inequality (see [G59]) asserts that

$$(2.1) \quad M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \geq \tilde{S}_m(\alpha_1)^{1/m} \dots \tilde{S}_m(\alpha_m)^{1/m}, \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \in \hat{\Gamma}_m.$$

Proposition 2.1. ([Bl05]). *If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \hat{\Gamma}_m$, $1 \leq p \leq m$, then we have*

$$\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_p \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq 0.$$

Let us set

$$\Sigma_m := \{\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}_m \text{ of constant coefficients such that } \tilde{S}_m(\alpha) = 1\}.$$

Recall the following elementary lemma and we include its proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}_m$. Then the following identity holds*

$$\tilde{S}_m(\alpha)^{1/m} = \inf \left\{ \frac{\alpha \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m}}{\beta^n}; \alpha_i \in \Sigma_m, \forall i \right\}.$$

Proof. Let M be a polarized form of $\tilde{S}_m$ define by

$$M(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) = \frac{\alpha \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m}}{\beta^n},$$

for $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in \Sigma_m, \alpha \in \hat{\Gamma}$. By Garding inequality 2.1, we have

$$M(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) \geq \tilde{S}_m(\alpha)^{1/m}.$$

Then we obtain that

$$\tilde{S}_m(\alpha)^{1/m} \leq \inf \left\{ \frac{\alpha \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m}}{\beta^n}; \alpha_i \in \Sigma_m, \forall i \right\}.$$

Now, setting $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{m-1} = \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{S}_m(\alpha)^{1/m}}$, we can ensure that

$$M(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) = \tilde{S}_m(\alpha)^{1/m}.$$

This complete the proof of lemma. $\square$

Aspects about m -subharmonic functions. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a bounded domain. Let also $\beta := dd^c|z|^2$ is the standard Kähler form in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Definition 2.3. ([Bl05]). Let u be a subharmonic function in Ω .

- 1) For smooth case, $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$ is said to be m -subharmonic (briefly m -sh) if the form $dd^c u$ belongs pointwise to $\hat{\Gamma}_m$.
- 2) For non-smooth case, u is called m -sh if for any collection $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in \hat{\Gamma}_m$, the inequality

$$dd^c u \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq 0$$

holds in the weak sense of currents in Ω .

We denote $SH_m(\Omega)$ the set of all m -sh functions. We will recall some properties of m -sh functions.

Proposition 2.4. ([Bl05]).

- (1) $PSH = SH_n \subset SH_{n-1} \subset \dots \subset SH_1 = SH$.
- (2) If $u, v \in SH_m(\Omega)$ then $\lambda u + \eta v \in SH_m(\Omega)$, $\forall \lambda, \eta \geq 0$
- (3) If $u \in SH_m(\Omega)$ and $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex increasing function then $\gamma \circ u \in SH_m(\Omega)$.
- (4) If $u \in SH_m(\Omega)$ then the standard regularizations $u_\epsilon = u * \rho_\epsilon$ are also m -subharmonic in $\Omega_\epsilon := \{z \in \Omega | \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega) > \epsilon\}$.
- (5) Let U be a non empty proper open subset of Ω , if $u \in SH_m(\Omega)$, $v \in SH_m(U)$ and $\limsup_{z \rightarrow y} v(z) \leq u(y)$ for every $y \in \partial U \cap \Omega$, then the function

$$w = \begin{cases} \max(u, v) & \text{in } U \\ u & \text{in } \Omega \setminus U \end{cases}$$

is m -sh in Ω .

- (6) Let $\{u_\alpha\} \subset SH_m(\Omega)$ be locally uniformly bounded from above and $u = \sup u_\alpha$. Then the upper semi-continuous regularization u^* is m -sh and equal to u almost everywhere.

For locally bounded m -subharmonic functions, we can inductively define a closed nonnegative current (following Bedford and Taylor for plurisubharmonic functions).

$$dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_p \wedge \beta^{n-m} := dd^c(u_1 dd^c u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_p \wedge \beta^{n-m}),$$

where $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$, $p \leq m$.

In particular, we define the nonnegative Hessian measure of $u \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ to be

$$H_m(u) := (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}.$$

Let us define the differential operator $L_\alpha : SH_m(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ such that

$$dd^c u \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} = L_\alpha u \beta^n,$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in \Sigma_m$. In appropriate complex coordinates this operator is the Laplace operator.

Example 2.5. Using Garding inequality 2.1, one can note that $L_\alpha(|z|^2) \geq 1$ for any $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_m$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$.

We will prove the following essential proposition by applying ideas from the viscosity theory developed in [EGZ11] for the complex Monge-Ampère equation and extended to the complex Hessian equation by H.C.Lu ([Lu12], [Lu13b]).

Proposition 2.6. *Let $u \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ and $0 \leq f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) $L_\alpha u \geq f^{1/m}, \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in \Sigma_m$.
- 2) $(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f \beta^n$ in Ω .

Proof. First observe that if $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$, then by Lemma 2.2 we can see that (1) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{S}_m(\alpha)^{1/m} \geq f^{1/m},$$

where $\alpha = dd^c u$ is a real (1,1)-form belongs to $\hat{\Gamma}_m$.

The last inequality corresponds to

$$(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f \beta^n \text{ in } \Omega.$$

(1 $\Rightarrow$ 2) We consider the standard regularization u_ϵ of u by convolution with a smoothing kernel. We then get

$$L_\alpha u_\epsilon \geq (f^{1/m})_\epsilon.$$

Since u_ϵ is smooth, by the observation above, we have

$$(dd^c u_\epsilon)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq ((f^{1/m})_\epsilon)^m \beta^n.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, by the convergence theorem for the Hessian operator under decreasing sequence, we get

$$(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f \beta^n \text{ in } \Omega.$$

(2 $\Rightarrow$ 1) Fix $x_0 \in \Omega$ and q is $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function in a neighborhood $V \Subset \Omega$ of x_0 such that $u \leq q$ in this neighborhood and $u(x_0) = q(x_0)$. We will prove that

$$(dd^c q)_{x_0}^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f(x_0) \beta^n.$$

First step: we claim that $dd^c q_{x_0} \in \hat{\Gamma}_m$.

If u is smooth, we note that x_0 is a local minimum point of $q - u$, then $dd^c(q - u)_{x_0} \geq 0$. Hence we see that $(dd^c q)^k \wedge \beta^{n-k} \geq 0$ in x_0 , for $1 \leq k \leq m$.

This gives that $dd^c q_{x_0} \in \hat{\Gamma}_m$.

If u non smooth, let u_ϵ is the standard smooth regularization of u , then u_ϵ is m -sh, smooth and $u_\epsilon \searrow u$. Now let us fix $\delta > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that the neighborhood of x_0 , $V \subset \Omega_{\epsilon_0}$. For each $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$, let y_ϵ be the maximum point of $u_\epsilon - q - \delta|x - x_0|^2$ on $\bar{B} \Subset V$ (where B is a small ball centered at x_0). Then we have

$$u_\epsilon(x) - q(x) - \delta|x - x_0|^2 \leq u_\epsilon(y_\epsilon) - q(y_\epsilon) - \delta|y_\epsilon - x_0|^2.$$

Assume that $y_\epsilon \rightarrow y \in \bar{B}$. Let us put $x = x_0$ and passing to the limit in the last inequality, we obtain

$$0 \leq u(y) - q(y) - \delta|y - x_0|^2,$$

but $q \geq u$ in V , then we can conclude that $y = x_0$.

Let us then define

$$\tilde{q} := q + \delta|x - x_0|^2 + u_\epsilon(y_\epsilon) - q(y_\epsilon) - \delta|y_\epsilon - x_0|^2.$$

which is $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function in B and satisfies $u_\epsilon(y_\epsilon) = \tilde{q}(y_\epsilon)$ and $\tilde{q} \geq u_\epsilon$ in B , then the following inequality holds in y_ϵ

$$(dd^c \tilde{q})^k \wedge \beta^{n-k} \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m,$$

that is

$$(dd^c q + \delta\beta)_{y_\epsilon}^k \wedge \beta^{n-k} \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Letting ϵ tend to 0, we get

$$(dd^c q + \delta\beta)_{x_0}^k \wedge \beta^{n-k} \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Since the last inequality holds for any $\delta > 0$, we can get that $dd^c q_{x_0} \in \hat{\Gamma}_m$.

Second step: assume that there exist a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and a $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function q satisfy $u \leq q$ in a neighborhood of x_0 and $u(x_0) = q(x_0)$ such that

$$(dd^c q)_{x_0}^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} < f(x_0)\beta^n.$$

Let us put

$$q^\epsilon(x) = q(x) + \epsilon(\|x - x_0\|^2 - \frac{r^2}{2})$$

which is $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function and for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ small enough we have

$$0 < (dd^c q^\epsilon)_{x_0}^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} < f(x_0)\beta^n.$$

Since f is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$(dd^c q^\epsilon)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq f\beta^n \text{ in } \mathbb{B}(x_0, r).$$

Hence, we get

$$(dd^c q^\epsilon)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \text{ in } \mathbb{B}(x_0, r)$$

and $q^\epsilon = q + \epsilon r^2/2 > q \geq u$ on $\partial\mathbb{B}(x_0, r)$. From the comparison principle (see [Bl05, Lu12]), it follows that $q^\epsilon \geq u$ in $\mathbb{B}(x_0, r)$, but this contradicts that $q^\epsilon(x_0) = u(x_0) - \epsilon r^2/2 < u(x_0)$.

We have shown that for every point $x_0 \in \Omega$, and every $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function q in a neighborhood of x_0 such that $u \leq q$ in this neighborhood and $u(x_0) = q(x_0)$, we have $(dd^c q)_{x_0}^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f(x_0)\beta^n$, hence we have $L_\alpha q_{x_0} \geq f^{1/m}(x_0)$.

Final step to prove 1). Assume that $f > 0$ is smooth function. Then there exists a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function, say g such that $L_\alpha g = f^{1/m}$. Hence Theorem 3.2.10' in [H94] implies that $\varphi = u - g$ is L_α -subharmonic, consequently $L_\alpha u \geq f^{1/m}$.

In the case $f > 0$ is only continuous. Note that

$$f = \sup\{w \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}), 0 < w \leq f\}.$$

Since $(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f\beta^n$, we get $(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq w\beta^n$. As $w > 0$ smooth, we can see that $L_\alpha u \geq w^{1/m}$, therefore $L_\alpha u \geq f^{1/m}$.

In general case, $0 \leq f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$. We observe that $u_\epsilon(z) = u(z) + \epsilon|z|^2$ satisfies

$$(dd^c u_\epsilon)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq (f + \epsilon^m)\beta^n.$$

By the last step, we get $L_\alpha u_\epsilon \geq (f + \epsilon^m)^{1/m}$, then the wanted result follows by letting ϵ tend to 0. $\square$

Definition 2.7. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smoothly bounded domain, we say that Ω is strongly m -pseudoconvex if there exist a defining function ρ of Ω (i.e. a smooth function in a neighborhood U of $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $\rho < 0$ on Ω , $\rho = 0$ and $d\rho \neq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$) and $A > 0$ such that

$$(dd^c \rho)^k \wedge \beta^{n-k} \geq A\beta^n \text{ in } U, \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

The existence of a solution U to Dirichlet problem 1.1 was proved in [DK11]. This solution can be given by the upper envelope of subsolutions to the Dirichlet problem as in [BT76] for the complex Monge-Ampère equation.

$$(2.2) \quad U = \sup\{v \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}); v|_{\partial\Omega} \leq \varphi \text{ and } (dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f\beta^n\}.$$

However, thanks to Lemma 2.6, we can describe the solution as the following

$$(2.3) \quad U = \sup\{v \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f)\},$$

where the nonempty family $\mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{V}_m = \{v \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}); v|_{\partial\Omega} \leq \varphi \text{ and } L_\alpha v \geq f^{1/m}, \forall \alpha_i \in \Sigma_m, 1 \leq i \leq m-1\}.$$

Observe that the description of the solution in formula (2.3) is more convenient, since it deals with subsolutions with respect to a family of linear elliptic operators.

3. THE MODULUS OF CONTINUITY OF THE SOLUTION

Recall that a real function ω on $[0, l]$, $0 < l < \infty$, is called a modulus of continuity if ω is continuous, subadditive, nondecreasing and $\omega(0) = 0$.

In general, ω fails to be concave, we denote $\bar{\omega}$ to be the minimal concave majorant of ω . For more details, we refer the reader to [T63, Kor82]. We denote ω_ψ the optimal modulus of continuity of the continuous function ψ which is defined by

$$\omega_\psi(t) = \sup_{|x-y| \leq t} |\psi(x) - \psi(y)|.$$

The following lemma is one of the useful properties of $\bar{\omega}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let ω be a modulus of continuity on $[0, l]$ and $\bar{\omega}$ be the minimal concave majorant of ω . Then $\omega(\eta t) < \bar{\omega}(\eta t) < (1 + \eta)\omega(t)$ for any $t > 0$ and $\eta > 0$.

Proof. Fix $t_0 > 0$ such that $\omega(t_0) > 0$. We claim that

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{\omega(t_0)} \leq 1 + \frac{t}{t_0}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

For $0 < t \leq t_0$, since ω is nondecreasing, we have

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{\omega(t_0)} \leq \frac{\omega(t_0)}{\omega(t_0)} \leq 1 + \frac{t}{t_0}$$

Otherwise, if $t_0 \leq t \leq l$, by the Euclid's Algorithm, we write $t = kt_0 + \alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha < t_0$ and k is natural number with $1 \leq k \leq t/t_0$. Using the subadditivity of ω , we observe that

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{\omega(t_0)} \leq \frac{k\omega(t_0) + \omega(\alpha)}{\omega(t_0)} \leq k + 1 \leq 1 + \frac{t}{t_0}.$$

Let $l(t) := \omega(t_0) + \frac{t}{t_0}\omega(t_0)$ is a straight line, then $\omega(t) \leq l(t)$ for all $0 < t \leq l$. Therefore

$$\bar{\omega}(t) \leq l(t) = \omega(t_0) + \frac{t}{t_0}\omega(t_0)$$

for all $0 < t \leq l$. Hence, for any $\eta > 0$ we have

$$\omega(\eta t) < \bar{\omega}(\eta t) < (1 + \eta)\omega(t).$$

□

In the following proposition, we establish a barrier to the problem 1.1 and estimate its modulus of continuity.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded strongly m -pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary, assume that ω_φ is the modulus of continuity of $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$ and $0 \leq f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$. Then there exists a subsolution $v \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f)$ such that $v = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ and the modulus of continuity of v satisfies the following inequality*

$$\omega_v(t) \leq \lambda \max\{\omega_\varphi(t^{1/2}), t^{1/2}\},$$

where $\lambda = \eta(1 + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})}^{1/m})$ and $\eta \geq 1$ is a constant depending on Ω .

Proof. Fix $\xi \in \partial\Omega$, we will prove that there exists $v_\xi \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f)$ such that $v_\xi(\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$.

We claim that there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on Ω , such that for every point $\xi \in \partial\Omega$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$, there is a function $h_\xi \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

- 1) $h_\xi(z) \leq \varphi(z), \forall z \in \partial\Omega$
- 2) $h_\xi(\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$
- 3) $\omega_{h_\xi}(t) \leq C\omega_\varphi(t^{1/2})$.

Assume that the claim is proved. Fix a point $z_0 \in \Omega$ and choose $K_1 \geq 0$ such that $K_1 = \sup_{\bar{\Omega}} f^{1/m}$, hence $L_\alpha(K_1|z - z_0|^2) = K_1 L_\alpha|z - z_0|^2 \geq f^{1/m}(z)$ for

all $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_m, 1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and let us set $K_2 = K_1|\xi - z_0|^2$. Then for the continuous function

$$\tilde{\varphi}(z) := \varphi(z) - K_1|z - z_0|^2 + K_2.$$

we have $h = h_\xi$ such that 1), 2) and 3) hold.

Then the desired function $v_\xi \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f)$ is given by

$$v_\xi(z) := h(z) + K_1|z - z_0|^2 - K_2.$$

Indeed, $v_\xi \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ and we have

$$h(z) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(z) = \varphi(z) - K_1|z - z_0|^2 + K_2 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

So that $v_\xi(z) \leq \varphi(z)$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $v_\xi(\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$. It is clear that

$$L_\alpha v_\xi = L_\alpha h + K_1 L_\alpha |z - z_0|^2 \geq f^{1/m} \text{ in } \Omega$$

Moreover, by the hypothesis, we can get an estimate for the modulus of continuity of v_ξ

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{v_\xi}(t) &= \sup_{|z-y| \leq t} |v(z) - v(y)| \leq \omega_h(t) + K_1 \omega_{|z-z_0|^2}(t) \\ &\leq C\omega_{\tilde{\varphi}}(t^{1/2}) + 4d^{3/2}K_1 t^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}) + 2dK_1(C + 2d^{1/2})t^{1/2} \\ &\leq (C + 2d^{1/2})(1 + 2dK_1) \max\{\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}), t^{1/2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\omega_{v_\xi}(t) \leq \eta(1 + K_1) \max\{\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}), t^{1/2}\},$$

where $\eta := (C + 2d^{1/2})(1 + 2d)$ is a constant depending on Ω and $d := \text{diam}(\Omega)$.

Now, we prove the claim. Since ρ is smooth, we can choose $B > 0$ large enough such that the function

$$g(z) = B\rho(z) - |z - \xi|^2$$

is m -subharmonic on Ω . Let us set

$$\chi(t) = -\bar{\omega}_{\varphi}((-t)^{1/2}),$$

for $t \leq 0$ which is convex nondecreasing function on $[-d^2, 0]$. Now, fix $r > 0$ so small that $|g(z)| \leq d^2$ in $B(\xi, r) \cap \Omega$ and define for $z \in B(\xi, r) \cap \bar{\Omega}$ the function

$$h(z) = \chi \circ g(z) + \varphi(\xi).$$

It is clear that h is continuous m -subharmonic function on $B(\xi, r) \cap \Omega$ and one can observe that $h(z) \leq \varphi(z)$ if $z \in B(\xi, r) \cap \partial\Omega$ and $h(\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$. Moreover, by the subadditivity of $\bar{\omega}_{\varphi}$ and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_h(t) &= \sup_{|z-y| \leq t} |h(z) - h(y)| \\
&\leq \sup_{|z-y| \leq t} \bar{\omega}_\varphi \left[\left| |z - \xi|^2 - |y - \xi|^2 - B(\rho(z) - \rho(y)) \right|^{1/2} \right] \\
&\leq \sup_{|z-y| \leq t} \bar{\omega}_\varphi \left[((2d + B_1)|z - y|)^{1/2} \right] \\
&\leq \tilde{C} \omega_\varphi(t^{1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{C} := 1 + (2d + B_1)^{1/2}$ is a constant depending on Ω .

Recall that $\xi \in \partial\Omega$ and fix $0 < r_1 < r$ and $\gamma_1 \geq d/r_1$ such that

$$-\gamma_1 \bar{\omega}_\varphi \left[(|z - \xi|^2 - B\rho(z))^{1/2} \right] \leq \inf_{\partial\Omega} \varphi - \sup_{\partial\Omega} \varphi,$$

for $z \in \partial\Omega \cap \partial B(\xi, r_1)$. Let us set $\gamma_2 = \inf_{\partial\Omega} \varphi$, then it follows that

$$\gamma_1(h(z) - \varphi(\xi)) + \varphi(\xi) \leq \gamma_2 \text{ for } z \in \partial B(\xi, r_1) \cap \bar{\Omega}.$$

Let us put

$$h_\xi(z) = \begin{cases} \max[\gamma_1(h(z) - \varphi(\xi)) + \varphi(\xi), \gamma_2] & ; z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap (B(\xi, r_1)) \\ \gamma_2 & ; z \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus B(\xi, r_1). \end{cases}$$

This is a well defined m -subharmonic function on Ω and continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$. Moreover, it satisfies $h_\xi(z) \leq \varphi(z)$ for all $z \in \partial\Omega$. Since on $\partial\Omega \cap B(\xi, r_1)$, we have

$$\gamma_1(h(z) - \varphi(\xi)) + \varphi(\xi) = -\gamma_1 \bar{\omega}_\varphi(|z - \xi|) + \varphi(\xi) \leq -\bar{\omega}_\varphi(|z - \xi|) + \varphi(\xi) \leq \varphi(z).$$

Furthermore, the modulus of continuity of h_ξ satisfies

$$\omega_{h_\xi}(t) \leq C \omega_\varphi(t^{1/2}),$$

where $C := \gamma_1 \tilde{C}$ depends on Ω . Hence it is clear that h_ξ satisfies the three conditions above.

We have just proved that for each $\xi \in \partial\Omega$, there is a function

$$v_\xi \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f), \quad v_\xi(\xi) = \varphi(\xi), \text{ and } \omega_{v_\xi}(t) \leq \lambda \omega_\varphi(t^{1/2}),$$

where $\lambda := \eta(1 + K_1)$. Let us set

$$v(z) = \sup \{v_\xi(z); \xi \in \partial\Omega\}.$$

We have $0 \leq \omega_v(t) \leq \lambda \omega_\varphi(t^{1/2})$, then $\omega_v(t)$ converges to zero when t converges to zero. Consequently, we get $v \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $v = v^* \in SH_m(\Omega)$. Thanks to Choquet lemma, we can choose a nondecreasing sequence (v_j) , where $v_j \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f)$, converging to v almost everywhere, so that

$$L_\alpha v = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_\alpha v_j \geq f^{1/m}, \forall \alpha_i \in \Sigma_m.$$

It is clear that $v(\xi) = \varphi(\xi), \forall \xi \in \partial\Omega$. Finally, we get $v \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f)$, $v = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\omega_v(t) \leq \lambda \omega_\varphi(t^{1/2})$.

□

Corollary 3.3. *Under the same assumption of Proposition 3.2. There exists a m -superharmonic function $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\tilde{v} = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ and*

$$\omega_{\tilde{v}}(t) \leq \lambda \max\{\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}), t^{1/2}\},$$

where $\lambda > 0$ as in Proposition 3.2.

Proof. We can do the same construction as in the proof of Proposition 3.2 for the function $\varphi_1 = -\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$, then we get $v_1 \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi_1, f)$ such that $v_1 = \varphi_1$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\omega_{v_1}(t) \leq \lambda \max\{\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}), t^{1/2}\}$. Hence, we set $\tilde{v} = -v_1$ which is a m -superharmonic function on Ω , continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$ and satisfies $\tilde{v} = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\omega_{\tilde{v}}(t) \leq \lambda \max\{\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}), t^{1/2}\}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Thanks to Proposition 3.2, we obtain a subsolution $v \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f)$, $v = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\omega_v(t) \leq \lambda \max\{\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}), t^{1/2}\}$. Observing Corollary 3.3, we construct a m -superharmonic function $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\tilde{v} = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\omega_{\tilde{v}}(t) \leq \lambda \max\{\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}), t^{1/2}\}$, where $\lambda = \eta(1 + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}^{1/m})$ and $\eta > 0$ depends on Ω .

Applying the comparison principle (see [Bl05, Lu12]), we get that

$$v(z) \leq \mathbf{U}(z) \leq \tilde{v}(z) \text{ for all } z \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Let us set $g(t) = \max(\lambda \max\{\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}), t^{1/2}\}, \omega_{f^{1/m}}(t))$, then

$$|\mathbf{U}(z) - \mathbf{U}(\xi)| \leq g(|z - \xi|); \forall z \in \Omega, \forall \xi \in \partial\Omega$$

because,

$$-g(|z - \xi|) \leq v(z) - \varphi(\xi) \leq \mathbf{U}(z) - \varphi(\xi) \leq \tilde{v}(z) - \varphi(\xi) \leq g(|z - \xi|).$$

Let us fix a point $z_0 \in \Omega$, For any small vector $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we define

$$V(z, \tau) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{U}(z) & ; z + \tau \notin \Omega, z \in \bar{\Omega} \\ \max(\mathbf{U}(z), v_1(z)) & ; z, z + \tau \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

where $v_1(z) = \mathbf{U}(z + \tau) + g(|\tau|)|z - z_0|^2 - d^2 g(|\tau|) - g(|\tau|)$.

Observe that if $z \in \Omega, z + \tau \in \partial\Omega$, we have

$$v_1(z) - \mathbf{U}(z) \leq g(|\tau|) + g(|\tau|)|z - z_0|^2 - Ag(|\tau|) - g(|\tau|) \leq 0 \quad (*)$$

hence $v_1(z) \leq \mathbf{U}(z)$ for $z \in \Omega, z + \tau \in \partial\Omega$. In particular, $V(z, \tau)$ is well defined and belongs to $SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$.

We assert that $L_\alpha V \geq f^{1/m}$ for all $\alpha_i \in_m$, indeed

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha v_1(z) &\geq f^{1/m}(z + \tau) + g(|\tau|)L_\alpha(|z - z_0|^2) \\ &\geq f^{1/m}(z + \tau) + g(|\tau|) \\ &\geq f^{1/m}(z + \tau) + |f^{1/m}(z + \tau) - f^{1/m}(z)| \\ &\geq f^{1/m}(z), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_m, 1 \leq i \leq m - 1$.

If $z \in \partial\Omega, z + \tau \notin \Omega$, then $V(z, \tau) = \mathbf{U}(z) = \varphi(z)$; On the other hand, $z \in \partial\Omega, z + \tau \in \Omega$, by (*) we get $V(z, \tau) = \max(\mathbf{U}(z), v_1(z)) = \mathbf{U}(z) = \varphi(z)$. Then $V(z, \tau) = \varphi(z)$ on $\partial\Omega$, hence $V \in \mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi, f)$.

Consequently, $V(z, \tau) \leq \mathbb{U}(z); \forall z \in \bar{\Omega}$. This implies that if $z \in \Omega$, $z + \tau \in \bar{\Omega}$, we have

$$\mathbb{U}(z + \tau) + g(|\tau|)|z - z_0|^2 - d^2 g(|\tau|) - g(|\tau|) \leq \mathbb{U}(z)$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{U}(z + \tau) - \mathbb{U}(z) \leq (d^2 + 1)g(|\tau|) - g(|\tau|) \cdot |z - z_0|^2 \leq (d^2 + 1)g(|\tau|).$$

Reversing the roles of $z + \tau$ and z , we get

$$|\mathbb{U}(z + \tau) - \mathbb{U}(z)| \leq (d^2 + 1)g(|\tau|); \forall z \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Thus,

$$\omega_{\mathbb{U}}(t) \leq (d^2 + 1)g(t).$$

Finally

$$\omega_{\mathbb{U}}(t) \leq \gamma \max\{\omega_{\varphi}(t^{1/2}), \omega_{f^{1/m}}(t), t^{1/2}\},$$

where $\gamma := \tau(1 + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})}^{1/m})$ and $\tau \geq 1$ is a constant depending on Ω . $\square$

Theorem 1.1 has the following consequence.

Corollary 3.4. *Let Ω be a smoothly bounded strongly m -pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ and $0 \leq f^{1/m} \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$. Then the solution of Dirichlet problem $\mathbb{U}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$.*

This result was proved by Nguyen in [N13] for the homogeneous case ($f \equiv 0$) (see also [Lu12, Lu13b]).

We now give examples to point out that there is a loss in the regularity up to the boundary and show that our result is optimal.

Example 3.5. *Let ψ be a concave modulus of continuity on $[0, 1]$ and*

$$\varphi(z) = -\psi[\sqrt{(1 + \operatorname{Re} z_1)/2}], \text{ for } z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \partial\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We can show that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\mathbb{B})$ with modulus of continuity $\omega_\varphi(t) \leq C\psi(t)$ for some $C > 0$.

We consider the following Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} u \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \\ (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B} \\ u = \varphi & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{B}, \end{cases}$$

where $2 \leq m \leq n$ be an interger. Then by the comparison principle, $\mathbb{U}(z) := -\psi[\sqrt{(1 + \operatorname{Re} z_1)/2}]$ is the unique solution to this problem. One can observe by a radial approach to the boundary point $(-1, 0, \dots, 0)$ that

$$C_1\psi(t^{1/2}) \leq \omega_{\mathbb{U}}(t) \leq C_2\psi(t^{1/2}),$$

for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$.

4. HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF THE SOLUTION WHEN $f \in L^p(\Omega)$.

4.1. Preliminaries and known results. The existence of a weak solution to complex Hessian equation in domains of $\mathbb{C}^n$ was established in the work of Dinew and Kolodziej [DK11]. More precisely, let $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smoothly $(m-1)$ -pseudoconvex domain, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$ and $0 \leq f \in L^p(\Omega)$ for some $p > n/m$, then there exist $\mathbf{U} \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $(dd^c \mathbf{U})^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = f \beta^n$ in Ω and $\mathbf{U} = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Recently, N.C. Nguyen in [N13] proved that the Hölder continuity of this solution under some additional conditions on the density near the boundary and on the boundary data, that is for $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p > n/m$ bounded near the boundary $\partial\Omega$ or $f \leq C|\rho|^{-m\nu}$ there and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$.

Here we follow the approach proposed in [GKZ08] for the complex Monge-Ampère equation. A crucial role in this approach is played by an a priori weak stability estimate of the solution. This approach has been adapted to the complex Hessian equation in [N13] and [Lu12]. Here is the precise statement.

Theorem 4.1. *Fix $0 \leq f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p > n/m$. Let $\varphi, \psi \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ be such that $(dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = f \beta^n$ in Ω , and let $\varphi \geq \psi$ on $\partial\Omega$. Fix $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma < \gamma_r$, where $\gamma_r = \frac{r}{r+mq+\frac{pq(n-m)}{p-\frac{n}{m}}}$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then there exists a uniform constant $C = C(\gamma, \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\Omega}(\psi - \varphi) \leq C(\|(\psi - \varphi)_+\|_{L^r(\Omega)})^\gamma$$

where $(\psi - \varphi)_+ := \max(\psi - \varphi, 0)$.

The second result gives the Hölder continuity under some additional hypothesis.

Theorem 4.2. ([N13]). *Let $0 \leq f \in L^p$, $p > n/m$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$. Let $\mathbf{U}$ be the continuous solution to 1.1. Suppose that there exists $v \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^{0,\nu}(\bar{\Omega})$, for $0 < \nu < 1$ such that $v \leq \mathbf{U}$ in Ω and $v = \mathbf{U}$ on $\partial\Omega$.*

- 1) *If $\nabla \mathbf{U} \in L^2(\Omega)$ then $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $\alpha < \min\{\nu, \gamma_2\}$.*
- 2) *If the total mass of $\Delta \mathbf{U}$ is finite in Ω then $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $\alpha < \min\{\nu, 2\gamma_1\}$, where $\gamma_r = \frac{r}{r+mq+\frac{pq(n-m)}{p-\frac{n}{m}}}$ for $r \geq 1$.*

4.2. Construction of Hölder barriers. The remaining problem is to construct a Hölder continuous barrier with the right exponent which guarantees one of the conditions in Theorem 4.2.

Using the interplay between the real and the complex Monge-Ampère measures as suggested by Cegrell and Persson in [CP92], we will construct Hölder continuous m -subharmonic barriers for the problem (1.1) when $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p \geq 2n/m$.

We recall that if u is a locally convex smooth function in Ω , its real Monge-Ampère measure is defined by

$$Mu := \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right) dV_{2n}.$$

When u is only convex then Mu can be defined following Alexandrov [A55] by means of the gradient image as a nonnegative Borel measure on Ω (see [Gut01], [RT77], [Gav77]).

Proposition 4.3. *Let $0 \leq f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p \geq 2n/m$ and u be a locally convex function in Ω and continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$. If the real Monge-Ampère measure $Mu \geq f^{2n/m} dV_{2n}$ then the complex Hessian measure satisfies the inequality $(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f \beta^n$ in the weak sense of measures on Ω .*

Proof. First step, we claim that

$$(dd^c u)^n \geq f^{n/m} \beta^n \text{ in the sense of measures.}$$

Indeed, for a smooth function u , we have

$$(4.1) \quad |\det(\partial^2 u / \partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k)|^2 \geq \det(\partial^2 u / \partial x_j \partial x_k)$$

hence we get immediately that $(dd^c u)^n \geq f^{n/m} \beta^n$ (see [CP92]).

Moreover, it is well known for smooth convex function that

$$(4.2) \quad (Mu)^{1/n} = \inf \Delta_H u, \text{ where } \Delta_H u := \sum_{j,k} h_{jk} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}.$$

for any symmetric positive definite matrix $H = (h_{jk})$ with $\det H = n^{-n}$ (see [Gav77], [Bl97]). In general case, we will prove that $(dd^c u)^n \geq f^{n/m} \beta^n$ weakly in Ω . Indeed, the problem being local, we can assume that u is defined and convex in a neighborhood of a ball $\bar{B} \subset \Omega$. For $\delta > 0$ we put $\mu_\delta := Mu * \rho_\delta$ then $\mu_\delta \geq g_\delta$ where $g_\delta := f^{2n/m} * \rho_\delta$ (without loss of generality we assume $g_\delta > 0$), we may assume that u and μ_δ are defined in this neighborhood of $\bar{B}$. Let φ_δ be a sequence of smooth function on ∂B converging uniformly to u there. Let u^δ is a smooth convex function such that $Mu^\delta = \mu_\delta$ in B and $u^\delta = \varphi_\delta$ on ∂B . Let $\tilde{u}$ convex continuous on $\bar{B}$ such that $M\tilde{u} = 0$ and $\tilde{u} = \varphi_\delta$ on ∂B . Moreover, let v^δ convex continuous on $\bar{B}$ such that $Mv^\delta = \mu_\delta$ and $v^\delta = 0$ on ∂B .

From the comparison principle for the real Monge-Ampère operator (see [RT77]), we can see that

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{u} + v^\delta \leq u^\delta \leq \tilde{u} - v^\delta.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.5 in [RT77] that

$$(4.4) \quad (-v^\delta(x))^n \leq c_n (\text{diam } B)^{n-1} \text{dist}(x, \partial B) Mv^\delta(B), \quad x \in B.$$

Then we conclude that $\{u^\delta\}$ is uniformly bounded on B , hence there exists a subsequene $\{u^{\delta_j}\}$ converging locally uniformly on B .

Moreover, (4.3) and (4.4) imply that $\{u^{\delta_j}\}$ is uniformly convergent on $\bar{B}$.

From the comparison principle it follows that u^{δ_j} converges uniformly to u . Since $u^{\delta_j} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{B})$ and $Mu^{\delta_j} \geq f^{2n/m} * \rho_{\delta_j} dV_{2n}$, we get that

$$(dd^c u^{\delta_j})^n \geq (f^{2n/m} * \rho_{\delta_j})^{1/2} \beta^n$$

Finally, as u^{δ_j} converges uniformly to u and by the convergence theorem of Bedford and Taylor, we get that

$$(dd^c u)^n \geq f^{n/m} \beta^n.$$

Second step, let $v = |z|^2 \in PSH(\Omega)$. Since $(dd^c u)^n \geq f^{n/m} \beta^n$ and $(dd^c v)^n = \beta^n$, by Theorem 1.2 in [Di09] we get that

$$(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f \beta^n.$$

□

We recall the theorem of existence of convex solution to the Dirichlet problem for the real Monge-Ampère equation, this theorem is due to Rauch and Taylor.

Theorem 4.4. ([RT77]). *Let Ω is strictly convex domain. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\partial\Omega)$ and μ is a non negative Borel measure on Ω with $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$. Then there is a unique convex $u \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $Mu = \mu$ in Ω and $u = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$.*

The following result gives the existence of a $1/2$ -Hölder continuous m -subharmonic barrier for the problem (1.1) when $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p \geq 2n/m$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ and $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p \geq 2n/m$. Then there exists $v \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^{0,1/2}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $v = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $(dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f \beta^n$ in the weak sense of currents, hence $v \leq U$ in Ω .*

Proof. Let B a big ball contains $\bar{\Omega}$ and let $\tilde{f}$ be the function defined by $\tilde{f} = f$ on Ω and $\tilde{f} = 0$ on $B \setminus \Omega$. Then $\tilde{f} \in L^p(B)$, $p \geq 2n/m$. Let $\mu := \tilde{f}^{2n/m} \beta^n$. This is a nonnegative Borel measure on B with $\mu(B) < \infty$. Thanks to Theorem 4.4 there exists a unique convex function $u \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{B})$ such that $Mu = \mu$ in B and $u = 0$ on ∂B . Hence u is lipschitz continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$. By Proposition 4.3 we have $(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f \beta^n$ in Ω .

We will construct the required barrier as follows. Let $h_{\varphi-u}$ be the upper envelope of $\mathcal{V}_m(\Omega, \varphi - u, 0)$. Then, thanks to Theorem 1.1, $h_{\varphi-u}$ is Hölder continuous of exponent $1/2$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Now it is easy to check that $v := u + h_{\varphi-u}$ is m -sh in Ω and satisfies $v = \varphi$ in $\partial\Omega$ and $(dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f \beta^n$ on Ω , hence $v \leq U$ in Ω . □

The last theorem provides us with a Hölder continuous barrier for the Dirichlet problem (1.1) with better exponent.

However, when $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ for $p > n/m$, we can find a Hölder continuous barrier with small exponent less than γ_1 .

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ and $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p > n/m$. Then there exists $v \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $\alpha < \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{1+mq+\frac{pq(n-m)}{p-\frac{n}{m}}}$ such that $v = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $v \leq U$ in Ω .*

Proof. Let us fix a large ball $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\Omega \Subset B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. We define $\tilde{f} = f$ in Ω and $\tilde{f} = 0$ in $B \setminus \Omega$. Let h_1 to the Dirichlet problem in B with density $\tilde{f}$ and zero boundary values. Since $\tilde{f} \in L^p(\Omega)$ is bounded near ∂B , h_1 is Hölder continuous on $\bar{B}$ with exponent $\alpha_1 < 2\gamma_1$ (see [N13]). Now let h_2 denote the solution to the Dirichlet problem in Ω with boundary values $\varphi - h_1$ and the zero density. Thanks to Theorem 1.1, we see that $h_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha_2}(\bar{\Omega})$ where $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1/2$. Therefore, the required barrier will be $v = h_1 + h_2$. It is clear that $v \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$, $v|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi$ and $(dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f\beta^n$ in the weak sense in Ω . Hence, by the comparison principle we get that $v \leq \mathbb{U}$ in Ω and $v = \mathbb{U} = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover we have $v \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $\alpha < \gamma_1$. $\square$

We will need in the sequel the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $u, v \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $v \leq u$ on Ω and $u = v$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then*

$$\int_{\Omega} dd^c u \wedge \beta^{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} dd^c v \wedge \beta^{n-1}.$$

We recall the definition of the class $\mathcal{E}_m^0(\Omega)$ (see [Lu13c]).

Definition 4.8. *We denote $\mathcal{E}_m^0(\Omega)$ the class of bounded functions v in $SH_m(\Omega)$ such that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} v(z) = 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} < +\infty$.*

The following proposition was proved by induction in [Ce04] for plurisubharmonic functions and we can do the same argument for m -sh functions.

Proposition 4.9. *Suppose that $h, u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{E}_m^0(\Omega)$, $p, q \geq 1$ such that $p+q \leq m$ and $T = dd^c g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c g_{m-p-q} \wedge \beta^{n-m}$ where $g_1, \dots, g_{m-p-q} \in \mathcal{E}_m^0(\Omega)$. Then we get*

$$\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u_1)^p \wedge (dd^c u_2)^q \wedge T \leq \left[\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u_1)^{p+q} \wedge T \right]^{\frac{p}{p+q}} \left[\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u_2)^{p+q} \wedge T \right]^{\frac{q}{p+q}}.$$

Proof. We first prove the statement for $p = q = 1$. Thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (see [Lu13c]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} -h dd^c u_1 \wedge dd^c u_2 \wedge T &= \int_{\Omega} -u_1 dd^c u_2 \wedge dd^c h \wedge T \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\Omega} -u_1 dd^c u_1 \wedge dd^c h \wedge T \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\Omega} -u_2 dd^c u_2 \wedge dd^c h \wedge T \right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left[\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u_1)^2 \wedge T \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u_2)^2 \wedge T \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The general case follows by induction in the same way as in [Ce04]. $\square$

We will only need the following particular case.

Corollary 4.10. *Let $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{E}_m^0(\Omega)$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} dd^c u_1 \wedge (dd^c u_2)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \left[\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_1)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \left[\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_2)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \right]^{\frac{m-1}{m}}.$$

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let U_0 the solution to the Dirichlet problem (1.1) with zero boundary values and the density f . We first claim that the total mass of ΔU_0 is finite in Ω . Indeed, let ρ be the defining function of Ω , then by Corollary 4.10 we have that

(4.5)

$$\int_{\Omega} dd^c U_0 \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \left[\int_{\Omega} (dd^c U_0)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \left[\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \rho)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \right]^{\frac{m-1}{m}}.$$

Since Ω is a bounded strongly m -pseudoconvex domain, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $(dd^c \rho)^j \wedge \beta^{n-j} \geq c\beta^n$ in Ω and we can find $A \gg 1$ such that $A\rho - |z|^2$ is m -sh function. Now it is easy to see that

$$\int_{\Omega} dd^c U_0 \wedge \beta^{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} dd^c U_0 \wedge (Add^c \rho)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m}.$$

Hence the inequality 4.5 yields

$$\int_{\Omega} dd^c U_0 \wedge \beta^{n-1} \leq A^{m-1} \left[\int_{\Omega} (dd^c U_0)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \left[\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \rho)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \right]^{\frac{m-1}{m}}.$$

Now we note that the total mass of complex Hessian measures of ρ and U_0 are finite in Ω . Therefore, the total mass of ΔU_0 is finite in Ω .

Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ -extension of φ to $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)}$ for some $C > 0$. Now, let $v = A\rho + \tilde{\varphi} + U_0$ where $A \gg 1$ such that $A\rho + \tilde{\varphi} \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$. By the comparison principle we see that $v \leq U$ in Ω and $v = U = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$. Since ρ is smooth in a neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\|\Delta U_0\|_{\Omega} < +\infty$, we get that $\|\Delta v\|_{\Omega} < +\infty$. Then by Lemma 4.7 we have $\|\Delta U\|_{\Omega} < +\infty$.

When $p > n/m$, we can get by Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.2 that $U \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ where $\alpha < \gamma_1$.

Moreover, if $p \geq 2n/m$, the Proposition 4.5 gives the existence of a $1/2$ -Hölder continuous barrier to the Dirichlet problem. Then using Theorem 4.2 we obtain that $U \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ where $\alpha < \min\{1/2, 2\gamma_1\}$.

Finally, in the particular case when $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, for $p > n/m$ and satisfies some condition near the boundary $\partial\Omega$, we obtain a better exponent.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a strongly m -pseudoconvex bounded domain with smooth boundary, suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ and $0 \leq f \in L^p(\Omega)$, for some $p > n/m$, and*

$$f(z) \leq (h \circ \rho(z))^m \text{ near } \partial\Omega,$$

where ρ is the defining function on Ω and $0 \leq h \in L^2([-A, 0])$, with $A \geq \sup_{\Omega} |\rho|$, be an increasing function. Then the solution U to (1.1) is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha < \gamma_2$.

Proof. Let $\chi : [-A, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^-$ be the primitive of h such that $\chi(0) = 0$. It is clear that χ is a convex increasing function. By the Hölder inequality, we

see that

$$|\chi(t_1) - \chi(t_2)| \leq \|h\|_{L^2} |t_1 - t_2|^{1/2},$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in [-A, 0]$. From the hypothesis, there exists a compact $K \Subset \Omega$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad f(z) \leq (h \circ \rho(z))^m \text{ for } z \in \Omega \setminus K.$$

Then the function $v = \chi \circ \rho$ is m -subharmonic in Ω , continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$ and satisfies

$$dd^c \chi \circ \rho = \chi''(\rho) d\rho \wedge d^c \rho + \chi'(\rho) dd^c \rho \geq \chi'(\rho) dd^c \rho,$$

in the sense of currents on Ω .

From the definition of ρ (see the Definition 2.7), there is a constant $A > 0$ such that $(dd^c \rho)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq A \beta^n$, hence the inequality (4.6) yields

$$(4.7) \quad (dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq A(h \circ \rho)^m \beta^n \geq A.f \beta^n \text{ in } \Omega \setminus K.$$

Now consider a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ extension $\tilde{\varphi}$ of φ to $\bar{\Omega}$ and choose $B \gg 1$ large enough so that $\tilde{\varphi} + B\rho$ is m -subharmonic in Ω and

$$\tilde{v} := Bv + \tilde{\varphi} + B\rho \leq \mathbf{U} \text{ in a neighborhood of } K.$$

Then $\tilde{v}$ is m -subharmonic in Ω and if $B \geq 1/A$, it follows from (4.7) that

$$(dd^c \tilde{v})^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq f \beta^n \text{ in } \Omega \setminus K.$$

By the comparison principle (see [Bl05, Lu12]), we have $\tilde{v} \leq \mathbf{U}$ on $\Omega \setminus K$, hence we get $\tilde{v} \leq \mathbf{U}$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, $\tilde{v} = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1/2}(\bar{\Omega})$.

We claim that $\nabla \tilde{v} \in L^2(\Omega)$. Indeed, it is enough to observe that $\tilde{\varphi} + B\rho$ is Lipschitz in $\bar{\Omega}$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} dv \wedge d^c v \wedge \beta^{n-1} = \int_{\Omega} (h \circ \rho)^2 d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge \beta^{n-1} < +\infty,$$

since $h \circ \rho \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Therefore $\tilde{v} \leq \mathbf{U}$ and $\tilde{v} = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then an easy integration by parts shows that

$$\int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{U} \wedge d^c \mathbf{U} \wedge \beta^{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} d\tilde{v} \wedge d^c \tilde{v} \wedge \beta^{n-1} < +\infty,$$

hence $\nabla \mathbf{U} \in L^2(\Omega)$ (see [GKZ08], [N13]).

By Theorem 4.2, we get that $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $\alpha < \min\{1/2, \gamma_2\} = \gamma_2$. $\square$

As an example of application of the last result, fix $p > n/m$, take $h(t) := t^{-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1/(pm)$, $t < 0$ and define $f := (h \circ \rho)^m$.

4.4. Hölder continuity for radially symmetric solution. Here we consider the case when the right hand side and the boundary data are radial. In this case, Yong and Lu [YL10] gave an explicit formula for the radial solution of the Dirichlet problem (1.1) with $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{B})$. Moreover, they studied higher regularity for radial solutions (see also [DD12]).

Here, we will extend this explicit formula to the case when $f \in L^p(\mathbb{B})$, for $p > n/m$, is a radial non-negative function and $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{B}$. Then we prove Hölder continuity of the radially symmetric solution with a better exponent which turns out to be optimal.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{B})$ be a radial function, where $p > n/m$. Then the unique solution $\mathbb{U}$ for (1.1) with zero boundary value is given by the explicit formula*

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbb{U}(r) = -B \int_r^1 \frac{1}{t^{2n/m-1}} \left(\int_0^t \rho^{2n-1} f(\rho) d\rho \right)^{1/m} dt,$$

where $B = \left(\frac{C_n^m}{2^{m+1}n} \right)^{-1/m}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{U} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,2-\frac{2n}{mp}}(\bar{\mathbb{B}})$ for $n/m < p < 2n/m$ and $\mathbb{U} \in Lip(\bar{\mathbb{B}})$ for $p \geq 2n/m$.

Proof. Let $f_k \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{B}})$ positive radial symmetric function such that $\{f_k\}$ converges to f in $L^p(\mathbb{B})$. Then there exists a unique solution $\mathbb{U}_k \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{B}})$ for $Dir(\mathbb{B}, 0, f_k)$ (see [YL10]) given by the following formula:

$$\mathbb{U}_k(r) = -B \int_r^1 \frac{1}{t^{2n/m-1}} \left(\int_0^t \rho^{2n-1} f_k(\rho) d\rho \right)^{1/m} dt.$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{U}_k$ converges in $L^1(\mathbb{B})$ to the function $\tilde{u}$ given by the same formula i.e.

$$\tilde{u}(r) = -B \int_r^1 \frac{1}{t^{2n/m-1}} \left(\int_0^t \rho^{2n-1} f(\rho) d\rho \right)^{1/m} dt.$$

We claim that the sequence $\{\mathbb{U}_k\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous in $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$. Indeed, let $0 < r < r_1 \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{U}_k(r_1) - \mathbb{U}_k(r)| &= B \int_r^{r_1} \frac{1}{t^{2n/m-1}} \left(\int_0^t \rho^{2n-1} f_k(\rho) d\rho \right)^{1/m} dt \\ &\leq B \int_r^{r_1} \frac{1}{t^{2n/m-1}} \left(\int_0^t \rho^{(2n-1)/q} \rho^{(2n-1)/p} f_k(\rho) d\rho \right)^{1/m} dt \\ &\leq C \|f_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B})}^{1/m} \int_r^{r_1} \frac{1}{t^{2n/m-1}} \left(\int_0^t \rho^{2n-1} d\rho \right)^{1/mq} dt \\ &\leq C \|f_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B})}^{1/m} \left(r_1^{2-\frac{2n}{mp}} - r^{2-\frac{2n}{mp}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since f_k converges to f in $L^p(\mathbb{B})$, we get $\|f_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B})} \leq C$ where $C > 0$ does not depend on k , hence $\mathbb{U}_k$ is equicontinuous on $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$. By Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence $\mathbb{U}_{k_j}$ converges uniformly to $\tilde{u}$.

Consequently, $\tilde{u} \in SH_m(\mathbb{B}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{B}})$ and thanks to the convergence theorem

for the Hessian operator (see [Lu12]) we can see that $(dd^c \tilde{u})^n = f\beta^n$ in $\mathbb{B}$. Passing to the limit in the inequality

$$|\mathbb{U}_k(r_1) - \mathbb{U}_k(r)| \leq C \|f_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B})}^{1/m} (r_1^{2-\frac{2n}{mp}} - r^{2-\frac{2n}{mp}}),$$

we get that

$$|\tilde{u}(r_1) - \tilde{u}(r)| \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B})}^{1/m} (r_1^{2-\frac{2n}{mp}} - r^{2-\frac{2n}{mp}}).$$

Hence, for $p \geq 2n/m$ we get $\tilde{u} \in Lip(\bar{\mathbb{B}})$ and for $n/m < p < 2n/m$, we have $\tilde{u} \in C^{0,2-\frac{2n}{mp}}(\bar{\mathbb{B}})$. □

We give an example which illustrates that the Hölder exponent $2 - \frac{2n}{mp}$ given by the Theorem 4.12 is optimal.

Example 4.13. Let $p \geq 1$ a fixed exponent. Take $f_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{|z|^\alpha}$, with $0 < \alpha < 2n/p$. Then it is clear that $f_\alpha \in L^p(\mathbb{B})$. The unique radial solution to the Dirichlet problem (1.1) with right hand side f_α and zero boundary value is given by

$$\mathbb{U}_\alpha(z) = c(r^{2-\alpha/m} - 1), r := |z| \leq 1,$$

where $c = \left(\frac{C_n^m}{2^{m+1}n}\right)^{-1/m} \left(\frac{1}{2n-\alpha}\right)^{1/m} \frac{m}{2m-\alpha}$. Then we have

1. If $p > n/m$ then $0 < \alpha < 2m$ and the solution $\mathbb{U}_\alpha$ is $(2 - \frac{2n}{mp} + \delta)$ -Hölder with $\delta = (2n/p - \alpha)/m$. Since α can be choosen arbitrary close to $2n/p$, this implies that the optimal Hölder exponent is $2 - \frac{2n}{mp}$.
2. Observe that when $1 \leq p < n/m$ and $2m < \alpha < 2n$, then the solution $\mathbb{U}_\alpha$ is unbounded.

The next example shows that in Theorem 4.12, n/m is the critical exponent in order to have a continuous solution.

Example 4.14. Consider the density f given by the formula

$$f(z) := \frac{1}{|z|^{2m}(1 - \log|z|)^\gamma},$$

where $\gamma > m/n$ is fixed.

It is clear that $f \in L^{n/m}(\mathbb{B}) \setminus L^{n/m+\delta}(\mathbb{B})$ for any $\delta > 0$. An elementary computation shows that the corresponding solution $\mathbb{U}$ given by the explicit formula (4.8) can be estimated by

$$\mathbb{U}(z) \leq C(1 - (1 - \log|z|)^{1-\gamma/m}),$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on n, m and γ . Hence we see that if $m/n < \gamma < m$ then $\mathbb{U}$ goes to $-\infty$ when z goes to 0. In this case the solution $\mathbb{U}$ is unbounded.

Acknowledgements. I am greatly indebted to my advisor, Professor Ahmed Zeriahi, who has thoroughly read this paper. His guidance helped

me in all the time of my study. I also wish to thank Hoang Chinh Lu for valuable discussions and encouragements.

REFERENCES

- [A55] A. D. Alexandrov, *Die innere Geometrie der konvexen Flächen*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1955.
- [BT76] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Invent. Math. 37 (1976), 1-44.
- [BT82] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. 149 (1982), 1-40.
- [BI96] Z. Błocki, *The complex Monge-Ampère operator in hyperconvex domains*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa, 23 (1996), 721-747.
- [BI97] Z. Błocki, *Smooth exhaustion functions in convex domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(1997), 477-484.
- [BI05] Z. Błocki, *Weak solutions to the complex Hessian equation*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 55, 5 (2005), 1735-1756.
- [Ce04] U. Cegrell, *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 54, n.1 (2004), 159-179.
- [Ch14] M. Charabati, *Hölder regularity for solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations*, preprint 2014.
- [CK94] U. Cegrell and S. Kolodziej, *The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator: Perron classes and rotation invariant measures*, Michigan Math. J., 41(1994), no. 3, 563-569.
- [CP92] U. Cegrell and L. Persson, *The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge Ampère operator: Stability in L^2* , Michigan Math. J. 39 (1992), 145-151.
- [D89] J.-P. Demailly, *Potential theory in several complex variables*, Lecture notes, IC-PAM, Nice, 1989.
- [DD12] N.Q. Dieu and N.T. Dung, *Radial symmetric solution of complex Hessian equation in the unit ball*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 58, No. 9, (2013), 1261-1272
- [Di09] S. Dinew, *An inequality for mixed Monge-Ampère measures*, Math. Zeit. 262 (2009), 1-15
- [DK11] S. Dinew, S. Kolodziej, *A priori estimates for complex Hessian equations*, preprint arxiv: 1112.3063v1.
- [EGZ11] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Viscosity solutions to degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 64 (2011), 1059-1094.
- [G59] L. Gårding, *An inequality for Hyperbolic Polynomials*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 8, No. 6 (1959).
- [Gav77] B. Gaveau, *Méthodes de contrôle optimal en analyse complexe I. Résolution d'équation de Monge-Ampère*, J.Funct. Anal. 25 (1977), no. 4, 391-411.
- [GKZ08] V. Guedj, S. Kolodziej and A. Zeriahi, *Hölder continuous solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equations*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 40 (2008), 1070-1080.
- [Gut01] C. E. Gutiérrez, *The Monge-Ampère equation*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2001.
- [H94] L. Hörmander, *Notions of convexity*, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1994.
- [Ko98] S. Kolodziej, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Acta Math. 180 (1998) 69-117.
- [Kor82] N. P. Korneichuk, *Precise constant in Jackson's inequality for continuous periodic functions*, Math. Zametki, 32 (1982), 669-674.
- [Li04] S.-Y. Li, *On the Dirichlet problems for symmetric function equations of the eigenvalues of the complex Hessian*, Asian J. Math. 8 (2004), 87-106.

- [Lu12] H.C. Lu, *Equations Hessiennes complexes*, PhD Thesis defended on 30th November 2012, <http://thesesups.ups-tlse.fr/1961/> .
- [Lu13a] H.C. Lu, *Solutions to degenerate complex Hessian equations*, Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées 100 (2013) pp. 785-805.
- [Lu13b] H.C. Lu, *Viscosity solutions to complex Hessian equations*, Journal of Functional Analysis 264, no 6, (2013) 1355-1379.
- [Lu13c] H.C. Lu, *A variational Approach to complex Hessian equations in $\mathbb{C}^n$* , preprint arXiv: 1301.6502v2.
- [N13] N.C. Nguyen, *Hölder continuous solutions to complex Hessian equations*, preprint arXiv: 1301.0710v2.
- [RT77] J. Rauch and B.A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for the multidimensional Monge-Ampère equation*, Rocky Mountain Math. J. 7(1977), 345-364.
- [SA12] A.S. Sadullaev and B.I. Abdullaev, *Potential theory in the class of m -subharmonic functions*, Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni V.A. Steklova, vol. 279 (2012), 166-192.
- [T63] A.F. Timan, *Theory of approximation of functions of real variable*, (New York, 1963). Translated from Russian.
- [W12] Y. Wang, *A Viscosity Approach to the Dirichlet Problem for Complex Monge-Ampère Equations*, Math. Z. 272 (2012), no. 1-2, 497-513.
- [YL10] H. Yong and X. Lu, *Regularity of radial solutions to the complex Hessian equations*, J. Part. Diff. Eq., 23 (2010), pp. 147-157.

Mohamad Charabati

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse

Université Paul Sabatier

118 route de Narbonne

31602 Toulouse Cedex 09 (France).

e-mail: mohamad.charabati@math.univ-toulouse.fr